

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/660,517 09/12/2003 Shu-Mei Chang PO92279 5340 7590 05/05/2005 **EXAMINER** Yi-Wen Tseng CHEN, ALAN S 509 ROOSEVELT BLVD. #D306 PAPER NUMBER FALLS CHURCH, VA 22044 ART UNIT 2182 DATE MAILED: 05/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

OIPE	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/660,517	CHANG, SHU-MEI
Office Action Summary 1 8 2005	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alan S. Chen	2182
The MAILING DATE of this communications Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions after the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the provided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repepty within the statutory minimum of thirty (d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHute, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. 35 from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	September 2003.	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	rance except for formal matter	rs, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	4
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a specificant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the left of the specific	ccepted or b) objected to by se drawing(s) be held in abeyance ection is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appliority documents have been re au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) .·

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The specification is objected to because it is replete with translational and idiomatic errors some of which are listed below:

-page 6, lines 15-16, the word "by" before "because" induces confusion.

-page 6, lines 16-17, the word "with" would be a more appropriately replaced with "without" since the keyboard and mouse must should not exceed the IR communication range.

-page 6, lines 18-19, the words "As the" should be deleted.

Because these errors hinder the interpretation of the mapping between disclosure and the recited claims, the Examiner attempts to interpret the claims in light of the specification to the best that he understands it and issues the rejections below.

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: applicant recites an exemplary embodiment of the invention being a child safety blind, on page 6, line 21. However, there is completely no insight nor explicit or implicit rational into the relevance of the invention to child safety blinds.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

- 3. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: insert the word "for" in line 1, between the words "receiver" and "cordless input".
- 4. Claim 18 is objected to because of the following informalities: insert the word "to" in line 25, between the words "operative" and "receive".

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,517

Art Unit: 2182

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 6. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 7. Claim 1 discloses that the "primary and secondary receiving modules use a transmission interface to connect each other in a plug-and-play and hot plugging manner", which is indefinitely because it is unclear whether it is connecting each other, e.g., the primary module is connected to the secondary module (which is what the language seems to lean closer to), or the primary and second module each is connected to the *host* by a plug and play. The Examiner takes the latter view, where the language is interpreted as the devices attach to the host in a plug and play type manner.
- 8. Claims 2-6 are dependent on claim 1, and therefore are rejected as being dependent on a base claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Page 3

ì

- 10. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Pat. No. 6,782,245 to Lazzarotto et al. (hereafter Lazzarotto).
- 11. As per claim 1, Lazzarotto discloses a receiver cordless input devices, providing signal reception for wireless transmission for at least two input devices (Fig. 6B, elements 608n), the receiver comprising at least one primary receiving module (Fig. 6B, element 604) and one second receiving module (Fig. 6B, element 605), wherein the primary receiving module is operative to receive a wireless signal transmitted from one of the input devices (clearly untethered nature is shown in Fig. 6B), and the secondary receiving module is operative to receive a wireless signal transmitted from the other input device (each distinct antenna show the untethered communications between separate devices in Fig. 6B), and the primary and secondary receiving modules use a transmission interface to connect each other in a plug-and-play and hot plugging manner (Fig. 6B, element 610 show the USB hub being the physical USB interface to host and other physically wired USB devices).
- 12. As per claim 7, Lazzarotto discloses a receiver for cordless input devices (Fig. 6B), comprising: a primary receiving module (Fig. 6B, element 604), operative to receive a wireless signal transmitted from a keyboard (Column 4, lines 54-64 disclose peripheral devices, element 608n can be a keyboard); and a secondary receiving module (Fig. 6B, element 604), operative to receive a wireless signal transmitted from a controller (Column 4, lines 54-64 disclose peripheral devices, element 608n can be a controller, particularly a game controller); wherein the primary and secondary receiving modules are electrically connected to each other by a plug-in

Art Unit: 2182

transmission interface (Fig. 6B, element 610 is a USB hub which is physically connected to the host by a plug).

- 13. As per claim 18, Lazzarotto discloses a receiver for cordless input devices (Fig. 6B, element 600b), including a primary receiving module operative to receive infrared signal (Column 4, lines 60-67) and a secondary receiving module operative to receive radio frequency signal (Column 4, lines 60-67), wherein the primary and secondary receiving modules are electrically connected to each other via a transmission interface (Fig. 6B, element 610 is a USB hub which is physically connected to the host by a plug).
- 14. As per claims 2-6, 9-10, 13, 14, 16, 17, 19 and 20, Lazzarotto discloses claims 1,7 and 18, wherein the primary receiving module has a USB transmission plug and line (Fig. 6A, inherently so, USB plug and transmission line based on USB specification). Transmission slot is construed to be the slot on the host side, when connected, is one entire unit/apparatus.
- 15. As per claims 8, 11, 12 and 15, Lazzarotto discloses claim 7, wherein the primary receiving module and secondary comprises a received integrated for receiving a wireless signal from a mouse (Column 4, lines 53-67, various wireless peripheral including mice can be attached via IF or RF).

Conclusion

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to USB hubs operating with various peripheral devices:

U.S. Pat. No. US006195712B1 to Pawlowski et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,517

Art Unit: 2182

U.S. Pat. No. US006314479B1 to Frederick et al.

U.S. Pat. No. US006434644B1 to Young et al.

U.S. Pat. Pub. No. US 20040243726A1 to O'Keefe et al.

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alan S. Chen whose telephone number is 571-272-4143. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A. Gaffin can be reached on (571) 272-4146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ASC 4/28/2005

Page 6

Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. | Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination | CHANG, SHU-ME| Examiner | Art Unit | Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*.		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-6,782,245 B1	08-2004	Lazzarotto et al.	455/226.1
	В	US-6,195,712 B1	02-2001	Pawlowski et al.	710/19
	С	US-6,314,479 B1	11-2001	Frederick et al.	710/63
	D	US-6,434,644 B1	08-2002	Young et al. — MAY 1 8 2005	710/63
	Е	US-2004/0243726 A1	12-2004	O'Keefe et al.	710/001
	F.	US-		RADEMARKO	
r	G	US-		TOE N	
	Н	US-			
•	1	US-			
	J	US-			
	к	US-			
	L	US-			
	м	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
	Q					
	R					
	S					
	Т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	٧	
	w	
	х	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)

Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

TSENSOS 220442011 1104 13 05/17/05
FORWARD TIME EXP ETN TO SEND
TSENG
4331 STEVENS BATTLE IN
4331 STEVENS BATTLE IN
FAIRFAX VA 22033-4269
FAIRFAX VA 22033-4269
RETURN TO SENDER
RETURN TO SENDER

MAY 1 8 2005
USPTO MAIL CENTER